


Communication

Selective Detection and Quantification of Oxidized Abasic Lesions in DNA

Shanta Dhar, Tetsuya Kodama, and Marc M. Greenberg

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2007**, 129 (28), 8702-8703 • DOI: 10.1021/ja073014e • Publication Date (Web): 26 June 2007

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 16, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 2 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

[View the Full Text HTML](#)



ACS Publications
 High quality. High impact.


Selective Detection and Quantification of Oxidized Abasic Lesions in DNA

Shanta Dhar, Tetsuya Kodama, and Marc M. Greenberg*


Department of Chemistry, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Received May 8, 2007; E-mail: mgreenberg@jhu.edu

Oxidized abasic lesions are produced via a variety of DNA damaging agents and exert distinctive effects on DNA repair and replication.^{1,2} With the exception of 2-deoxyribonolactone (**L**) and **AP**, abasic site (and DNA lesions in general) detection is typically carried out using mass spectrometry, following digestion of the biopolymer, which in some instances is derivatized prior to digestion.^{3–6} Selective tagging of DNA lesions offers the possibility of rapid and sensitive lesion detection without the need for completely degrading the biopolymer or expensive instrumentation. We report a reagent, which when used in conjunction with DNA polymerase β and an ELISA like fluorescence assay enables selective quantification of the **C4-AP** and **DOB** oxidized abasic lesions.⁷



C4-AP and **DOB** result from the initial oxidation of the C4' and C5'-positions of DNA, respectively. Very little is known about the biological effects of **DOB**, although it is a potential source of the DNA alkylating agent, butene dial.^{8,9} In contrast, **C4-AP** is known to disrupt replication in *E. coli* and is a substrate for base excision repair.^{10,11} **C4-AP** is a major component of DNA damage produced by the antitumor agent bleomycin and is also generated by the enediyne natural products, whereas **DOB** is formed in reactions with neocarzinostatin and enediynes.^{12–14} Both lesions are detected following γ -irradiation, presumably because of the accessibility of the respective hydrogen atoms to the freely diffusible hydroxyl radical.^{8,15} **C4-AP** can be detected in small DNA fragments via gel electrophoresis following reaction with hydrazine.¹⁶ However, in order to quantify **C4-AP** or **DOB** produced randomly in DNA, one typically stabilizes and/or derivatizes the lesions prior to GC/MS analysis.^{8,12}



The method described here takes advantage of a common structural feature. The masked 1,4-dicarbonyl group in **C4-AP** and **DOB** is unique to other lesions and offers the opportunity to develop a reagent that would be useful for their selective detection. We previously reported that **DOB** reacted with Tris to form a tricyclic adduct in a reaction that is characteristic of 1,4-dicarbonyl molecules.^{17,18} Reaction of two Tris analogues (**3**, **4**) with **C4-AP** (**1**) indicated that higher adduct yields resulted when a third




Figure 1. Yields of adduct with **C4-AP** (**1**).





Figure 2. Reaction of **5** (5 mM, pH 8.2, 55 °C, 4 h) with DNA containing (A) **C4-AP** (**1**) or (B) **DOB** (**2**).

hydroxyl group was present (Figure 1). Consequently, **5**, which could be used in conjunction with a recently developed fluorescence detection method for quantifying lesions was synthesized.⁷ We anticipated that the two lesions could be distinguished by taking advantage of the fact that DNA polymerase β (Pol β) excises **DOB** but not **C4-AP** (Scheme 1, Figure 2).¹⁹



The reactivity of **5** with duplex DNA containing **C4-AP** (**1**) or a ternary complex containing **DOB** (G^{OH} indicates the 3'-hydroxyl terminus of the oligonucleotide that flanks **DOB**, **2**) was examined using previously synthesized oligonucleotides containing the lesions at defined sites.^{17,20} Optimum conditions for tagging **C4-AP** (82.3 \pm 0.1%) and **DOB** (74.8 \pm 0.7%) were found to be 5 mM **5** at pH 8.2 and 55 °C for 4 h (Figure 2). Slightly higher adduct yields did not justify using twice the amount (10 mM) of **5**. No reaction was detected between DNA containing **AP** or **L** lesions and **5** (data not shown). The stereoisomeric mixture of tricyclic adducts were





Figure 3. C4-AP formation as a function of peplomycin concentration.

Scheme 1. Fluorescence Detection of C4-AP and DOB Using **5**



characterized by MALDI-TOF MS.²¹ Treatment of **1** with Pol β prior to reaction with **5** had no effect on adduct yield (Figure 2). However, no adduct was detected in DOB (**2**) samples pretreated with Pol β , indicating that combined use of the enzyme and **5** will enable one to individually quantify these two lesions.

Quantification was carried out by taking advantage of the biotin covalently linked to the DNA through the lesion of interest (Scheme 1).⁷ Lesions were detected by measuring the fluorescence of Resorufin produced from the oxidation of Amplex Red by horseradish peroxidase. Absolute amounts were determined using a calibration curve established side-by-side and by taking into account the adduct yields, per above.²¹ Experiments with **1** and **2** indicated that the signal varied linearly over an order of magnitude and one could readily detect 25 fmol of adduct.²¹ The method was initially tested by analyzing the reaction of peplomycin with a PCR fragment produced by amplifying a 287 nt region of M13 plasmid (Figure 3). This experiment verified that C4-AP formation depended linearly on the concentration of the bleomycin analogue.

Having established the fluorescent assay, **5** was used to measure the levels of C4-AP and DOB produced in the PCR fragment exposed to γ -radiolysis (Figure 4). The amounts of adduct(s) with and without Pol β treatment were indistinguishable under aerobic conditions, indicating that the amount of DOB produced under these conditions was significantly less than that of C4-AP. The total amount of lesions formed decreased \sim 3-fold under anaerobic conditions, consistent with the O₂ enhancement effect in γ -radiolysis.¹⁵ However, under anaerobic conditions DOB accounted for \sim 16% of the lesions detected. Comparing these data to those recently reported reveals that the total amount of 1,4-dicarbonyl containing lesions formed by γ -radiolysis under aerobic conditions account for \sim 27.5% of the total amount aldehyde reactive DNA damage.⁵ Furthermore, these experiments are consistent with the proposal that 2-deoxyribonolactone (**L**) is the major abasic lesion produced by ¹³⁷Cs irradiation of DNA in aqueous solution, despite the C1'-hydrogen atom's inaccessibility to diffusible species.^{5,22–24}




Figure 4. Quantification of C4-AP and DOB production by γ -radiolysis of the 287 nt PCR fragment under (A) aerobic (B) anaerobic conditions: with Pol β , blue; without Pol β , red.

Overall, this study indicates that **5** is a useful research tool for selectively detecting two significant DNA lesions at femtomolar levels. The method will enable one to utilize the C4-AP and DOB abasic lesions as biomarkers.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful for generous support from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (Grant GM-063028) and for a Japan Society for the Promotion of Science Postdoctoral Fellowship for Research Abroad to T.K. We thank Dr. Liang Xue for technical assistance and helpful suggestions, Professor Hiroshi Sugiyama for peplomycin, and Dr. David M. Wilson for DNA polymerase β as well as helpful comments on the manuscript.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures for the synthesis and characterization of **5** and all other experimental procedures; MALDI-TOF MS of DNA adducts and sample fluorescence data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

References

- (1) Hashimoto, M.; Greenberg, M. M.; Kow, Y. W.; Hwang, J.-T.; Cunningham, R. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 3161–3162.
- (2) Kroeger, K. M.; Jiang, Y. L.; Kow, Y. W.; Goodman, M. F.; Greenberg, M. M. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 6723–6733.
- (3) Lhomme, J.; Constant, J. F.; Demeunynck, M. *Biopolymers* **1999**, *52*, 65–83.
- (4) Sato, K.; Greenberg, M. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 2806–2807.
- (5) Xue, L.; Greenberg, M. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 561–564.
- (6) Dizdaroğlu, M.; Jaruga, P.; Birincioglu, M.; Rodriguez, H. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2002**, *32*, 1102–1115.
- (7) Xue, L.; Greenberg, M. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 7010–7011.
- (8) Chen, B.; Bohnert, T.; Zhou, X.; Dedon, P. C. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2004**, *17*, 1406–1413.
- (9) Byrns, M. C.; Vu, C. C.; Neidigh, J. W.; Abad, J.-L.; Jones, R. A.; Peterson, L. A. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **2006**, *19*, 414–420.
- (10) Kroeger, K. M.; Kim, J.; Goodman, M. F.; Greenberg, M. M. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 13621–13627.
- (11) Greenberg, M. M.; Weledji, Y. N.; Kroeger, K. M.; Kim, J.; Goodman, M. F. *Biochemistry* **2004**, *43*, 2656–2663.
- (12) Rabow, L. E.; Stubbe, J.; Kozarich, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 3196–3203.
- (13) Xi, Z.; Goldberg, I. H. In *Comprehensive Natural Products Chemistry*; Kool, E. T., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1999; Vol. 7, p 553–592.
- (14) Goldberg, I. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1991**, *24*, 191–198.
- (15) von Sonntag, C. *The Chemical Basis of Radiation Biology*; Taylor & Francis: London, 1987.
- (16) Sugiyama, H.; Kawabata, H.; Fujiwara, T.; Dannou, Y.; Saito, I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 5252–5257.
- (17) Kodama, T.; Greenberg, M. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 9916–9924.
- (18) Maieranu, C.; Darabantu, M.; Plé, G.; Berghian, C.; Condamine, E.; Ramondenc, Y.; Silaghi-Dumitrescu, I.; Mager, S. *Tetrahedron* **2002**, *58*, 2681–2693.
- (19) Nguyen, L. H.; Barsky, D.; Erzberger, J. P.; Wilson, D. M. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2000**, *298*, 447–459.
- (20) Kim, J.; Gil, J. M.; Greenberg, M. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 5882–5885.
- (21) See Supporting Information.
- (22) Balasubramanian, B.; Pogozelski, W. K.; Tullius, T. D. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1998**, *95*, 9738–9743.
- (23) Roginskaya, M.; Razskazovskiy, Y.; Bernhard, W. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 6210–6213.
- (24) Hong, I. S.; Carter, K. N.; Sato, K.; Greenberg, M. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 4089–4098.

JA073014E